arXiv:quant-ph/0702024v1 3 Feb 2007

Theory of decoherence in Bose-Einstein condensate interferometry

B J Dalton
ARC Centre for Quantum-Atom Optics
and Centre for Atom Optics and Ultrafast Spectroscopy
Swinburne University of Technology
Melbourne, Victoria 3122, Australia
Email: bdalton@swin.edu.au

Abstract. A full treatment of decoherence and dephasing effects in BEC interferometry has been developed based on using quantum correlation functions for treating interferometric effects. The BEC is described via a phase space distribution functional of the Wigner type for the condensate modes and the positive P type for the non-condensate modes. Ito equations for stochastic condensate and non-condensate field functions replace the functional Fokker-Planck equation for the distribution functional and stochastic averages of field function products determine the quantum correlation functions.

1 Introduction

Bose-Einstein condensates (BEC) in cold atomic gases are an example of a quantum system on a macroscopic scale. Well below the transition temperature essentially all bosons occupy small number of single particle states (or modes) – in simple situations only one mode. Interferometry based on BECs (such as by splitting a BEC in a single trap into two traps and then allowing the BECs to recombine) offers possible improvements in precision over single atom interferometry by a factor given by square root of the boson number N [1, 2]. Such BEC interferometry is based on having almost all bosons in one (or perhaps two) modes.

A typical double-well interferometry experiment involves starting with a BEC in a single well trap, then changing the trap to a possibly asymmetric double-well and then back to a single well. Asymmetry could lead to excitation of bosons to higher energy states of final trap, or to changes to spatial interference patterns. The process of exciting one boson from the ground to the first excited state for a single well trap via two quantum pathways is shown, both pathways involving an intermediate double well trap. The near degeneracy of energy levels for the intermediate asymmetric double well facilitates the boson transfer to the excited state. The two non-observed quantum pathways involve the boson transfer occurring in different halves of the process, and superposition of the two quantum transition amplitudes can lead to interference effects in the excitation probability.

Interferometry experiments involving measurements of boson positions are best described in terms of quantum correlation functions, which are expectation values of products of bosonic field operators specified at different spatial points, and are related to many-boson position measurements [3]. If boson-boson interactions were absent and the BEC isolated from the environment, idealised forms of quantum correlation functions would result, with interferometric effects clearly visible. Interactions of the BEC with the external environment (such as fluctuating trap fields) and internal boson-boson interactions tend to degrade the interference pattern. Boson-boson interactions can result in dephasing (associated with interactions within condensate modes) and decoherence effects (associated with interactions causing transitions from condensate modes) even when external environmental effects are absent.

Recently a simple theory of double-well BEC interferometry has been developed [4] based on a two mode approximation and allowing for possible fragmentations of the original BEC into two modes - which may be localised in each well. Many previous theories ignore fragmentation, with all bosons occupying a single condensate wave function which satisfies the standard Gross-Pitaevskii equation (see [5] and references therein). The two-mode theory was developed from the quantum principle of least action and gives self consistent coupled equations for the two mode functions (generalised Gross-Pitaevskii equations) and for the amplitudes (matrix equations) describing fragmentation of the BEC. Self consistency results in the mode functions depending on the relative importance of various ways the BEC can fragment, whilst the fragmentation amplitudes depend on the mode functions. Numerical studies are planned. However, only transitions within the two condensate modes are allowed for, and although some dephasing processes are included, decoherence processes associated with elementary collective excitations (Bogoliubov) and single boson excitations (thermal modes) are not. The theory is also restricted to small N.

A full treatment of decoherence and dephasing effects on quantum correlation functions is required, and this is the subject of the present paper. Several possible approaches to developing such a theory may be identified. These all have desirable features such as exploiting the physics of large occupancy differences for condensate and non-condensate modes, not being restricted to small boson numbers and avoiding the explicit consideration of large numbers of modes. Collective and single boson excitations from condensate modes, and possible fragmentation effects can be allowed for, though the presence of these processes may not be explicit.

One such approach is a master equation method [6], in which a condensate density operator is defined for which a master equation is derived allowing for interactions with non-condensate modes, which constitute a reservoir. The difficulty with this method is that it is hard to evaluate the non-condensate contributions to quantum correlation functions. A second approach is based on a Heisenberg equation method that has been applied in numerous many-body theory cases. Heisenberg equations for field operators and products of field operators are derived, and taking the expectation values with the initial density operator results in a heirarchy of coupled equations for quantum correlation functions. An ansatz (such as assuming that a suitable high order correlation function factorises) produces a truncated set of coupled equations from which correlation functions of the required order can be calculated. The problem with this method is that it is hard to confirm the validity of the ansatz.

The present approach is a generalised phase space method, but involving a distribution functional rather than a distribution function [7]. The field operator for the bosonic system is written as a sum of condensate and non-condensate mode contributions [8]. The BEC state is described by a density operator which satisfies the Liouville-von Neumann equation (LVN), and which is mapped onto a phase space distribution functional. The latter has the feature that the highly occupied condensate modes are described via a generalised Wigner representation (since the bosons in condensate modes behave like a classical mean field), whilst the basically unoccupied non-condensate modes are described via a positive P representation (these bosons should exhibit quantum effects). The LVN equation is replaced by a functional Fokker-Planck equation (FFPE) for the distribution functional, which is based on the truncated Wigner approximation [7] that can be applied when large condensate mode occupancy occurs. The FFPE are finally replaced by coupled Ito stochastic equations (c-number Langevin equations) for condensate and non-condensate field functions, where the Ito equations contain deterministic and random noise terms - identifiable from the FFPE. Stochastic averages of the field functions then give the quantum correlation functions. There are no obvious difficulties with this approach, though further development will be needed to explicitly incorporate Bogoliubov collective excitations.

2 Generalised phase space functional theory

The Hamiltonian in terms of bosonic field operators $\widehat{\Psi}(\mathbf{r}), \widehat{\Psi}(\mathbf{r})^{\dagger}$ is

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{H} &= \int d\mathbf{r}(\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\nabla\widehat{\Psi}(\mathbf{r})^{\dagger}\cdot\nabla\widehat{\Psi}(\mathbf{r}) + \widehat{\Psi}(\mathbf{r})^{\dagger}V\widehat{\Psi}(\mathbf{r})) \\ &\quad + \int d\mathbf{r}\frac{g}{2}\widehat{\Psi}(\mathbf{r})^{\dagger}\widehat{\Psi}(\mathbf{r})^{\dagger}\widehat{\Psi}(\mathbf{r})\widehat{\Psi}(\mathbf{r}), \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where the boson mass is m, the trap potential is $V(\mathbf{r},t)$ and g specifies boson-boson interactions in the zero range approximation.

The field operator $\widehat{\Psi}(\mathbf{r})$ is written as sum of a condensate term $\widehat{\Psi}_C(\mathbf{r})$ and a non-condensate term $\widehat{\Psi}_{NC}(\mathbf{r})$ [6]

$$\widehat{\Psi}_C(\mathbf{r}) = \widehat{c}_1\phi_1(\mathbf{r}) + \widehat{c}_2\phi_2(\mathbf{r}) \qquad \widehat{\Psi}_{NC}(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_{k\neq 1,2}^{n}\widehat{c}_k\phi_k(\mathbf{r}), \tag{2}$$

which are defined in terms of n orthonormal mode functions $\phi_k(\mathbf{r})$ and bosonic mode annihilation operators $\widehat{c}_k$. Condensate and non-condensate contributions to the field operators commute.

Replacing $\widehat{\Psi}(\mathbf{r})$ by $\widehat{\Psi}_C(\mathbf{r})+\widehat{\Psi}_{NC}(\mathbf{r})$ the Hamiltonian is sum of three terms [6], Hamiltonians $\widehat{H}_C$ and $\widehat{H}_{NC}$ for the condensate and non-condensate - which are of the same form as in Equation (1), and the interaction $\widehat{V}$ between condensate and non-condensate. This is the sum of three contributions, which are linear, quadratic and cubic in the condensate operators.

Spatial coherence effects for interference experiments in BECs may be described via quantum correlation functions, which depend on the density operator $\widehat{\rho}$ for the bosonic system and are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} & G^N(\mathbf{r}_1,\mathbf{r}_2,..,\mathbf{r}_N;\mathbf{s}_N,..,\mathbf{s}_2,\mathbf{s}_1) \\ = \; & Tr(\widehat{\rho}(t)\,\widehat{\Psi}\,(\mathbf{r}_1)^\dagger\,..\widehat{\Psi}\,(\mathbf{r}_N)^\dagger\,\widehat{\Psi}\,(\mathbf{s}_N)\,..\,\widehat{\Psi}\,(\mathbf{s}_1)). \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

The quantum correlation function with $r_i = s_i\,(i = 1,...,N)$ determines the simultaneous probability of detecting one boson at r_1, .., the Nth at r_N, see [3]. It is evident that the quantum correlation functions will contain condensate terms (describing the main interference effects), non-condensate terms and mixed terms involving both condensate and non-condensate operators (describing effects degrading the interference patterns).

In the phase space functional method the density operator $\widehat{\rho}$ is first mapped uniquely onto a characteristic functional $\chi[\xi_C(\mathbf{r}),\xi_C^+(\mathbf{r}),\xi_{NC}(\mathbf{r}),\xi_{NC}^+(\mathbf{r})]$ of the four functions $\xi_C^+(\mathbf{r}),\xi_C(\mathbf{r}),\xi_{NC}^+(\mathbf{r})$ and $\xi_{NC}(\mathbf{r})$

$$\begin{aligned} & \chi[\xi_C,\xi_C^+,\xi_{NC},\xi_{NC}^+] \\ = \; & Tr(\widehat{\rho}\,\exp i\int d\mathbf{r}\{\xi_C(\mathbf{r})\widehat{\Psi}_C^\dagger(\mathbf{r}) + \widehat{\Psi}_C(\mathbf{r})\xi_C^+(\mathbf{r})\} \\ & \times \exp i\int d\mathbf{r}\{\xi_{NC}(\mathbf{r})\widehat{\Psi}_{NC}^\dagger(\mathbf{r})\}\;\exp i\int d\mathbf{r}\{\widehat{\Psi}_{NC}(\mathbf{r})\xi_{NC}^+(\mathbf{r})\}) \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

The characteristic functional is of the Wigner (W) type for condensate modes and the positive P (P^+) type for the non-condensate modes.

The (quasi) distribution functional $P[\psi_C(\mathbf{r}),\psi_C^+(\mathbf{r}),\psi_{NC}(\mathbf{r}),\psi_{NC}^+(\mathbf{r})]$ involves four field functions $\psi_C(\mathbf{r}),\psi_C^+(\mathbf{r}),\psi_{NC}(\mathbf{r}),\psi_{NC}^+(\mathbf{r})$ corresponding to field operators $\widehat{\Psi}_C(\mathbf{r}),\widehat{\Psi}_C(\mathbf{r})^\dagger,\widehat{\Psi}_{NC}(\mathbf{r})$ and $\widehat{\Psi}_{NC}(\mathbf{r})^\dagger$. Although non-unique and possibly negative (and hence not interpretable as a probability distribution), it is required to determine the characteristic functional $\chi[\xi_C(\mathbf{r}),\xi_C^+(\mathbf{r}),\xi_{NC}(\mathbf{r}),\xi_{NC}^+(\mathbf{r})]$ via a functional integration process with weight function $w(\psi_1,\psi_1^+,..,\psi_i,\psi_i^+,..,\psi_n,\psi_n^+,)$ given by $\prod_i(\Delta\mathbf{r}_i)$

$$\begin{aligned} & \chi[\xi_C(\mathbf{r}),\xi_C^+(\mathbf{r}),\xi_{NC}(\mathbf{r}),\xi_{NC}^+(\mathbf{r})] \\ = \; & \iiiint D^2\psi_C\,D^2\psi_C^+\,D^2\psi_{NC}\,D^2\psi_{NC}^+\;P[\psi_C(\mathbf{r}),\psi_C^+(\mathbf{r}),\psi_{NC}(\mathbf{r}),\psi_{NC}^+(\mathbf{r})] \\ & \times \exp i\int d\mathbf{r}\,\{\xi_C(\mathbf{r})\psi_C^+(\mathbf{r}) + \psi_C(\mathbf{r})\xi_C^+(\mathbf{r})\} \\ & \times \exp i\int d\mathbf{r}\,\{\xi_{NC}(\mathbf{r})\psi_{NC}^+(\mathbf{r})\}\exp i\int d\mathbf{r}\,\{\psi_{NC}(\mathbf{r})\xi_{NC}^+(\mathbf{r})\}. \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

Quantum averages of symmetrically ordered products of condensate field operators $\{\widehat{\Psi}_C^\dagger(\mathbf{r}_1)....\widehat{\Psi}_C^\dagger(\mathbf{r}_p)\widehat{\Psi}_C(\mathbf{s}_q)..\widehat{\Psi}_C(\mathbf{s}_1)\}$ and normally ordered products of non-condensate field operators $\widehat{\Psi}_{NC}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{u}_1)\widehat{\Psi}_{NC}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{u}_2)....\widehat{\Psi}_{NC}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{u}_r)\widehat{\Psi}_{NC}(\mathbf{v}_s)..\widehat{\Psi}_{NC}(\mathbf{v}_1)$ are given by functional integrals of the distribution functional $P[\psi_C,\psi_C^+,\psi_{NC},\psi_{NC}^+]$ with products of field functions,.where the condensate field operator $\widehat{\Psi}_C(\mathbf{r}_i)^{\dagger}$ is replaced by $\psi_C^+(\mathbf{r}_i)$, $\widehat{\Psi}_C(\mathbf{s}_j)$ is replaced by $\psi(\mathbf{s}_j)$ and with analogous replacements for the non-condensate field operators.

$$\begin{aligned} & Tr[\widehat{\rho}\,\{\widehat{\Psi}_C^{\dagger}(\mathbf{r}_1)...\widehat{\Psi}_C^{\dagger}(\mathbf{r}_p)\widehat{\Psi}_C(\mathbf{s}_q)..\widehat{\Psi}_C(\mathbf{s}_1)\} \qquad (6)\\ & \times\widehat{\Psi}_{NC}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{u}_1)...\widehat{\Psi}_{NC}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{u}_r)\widehat{\Psi}_{NC}(\mathbf{v}_s)..\widehat{\Psi}_{NC}(\mathbf{v}_1)] \\ = & \iiiint D^2\psi_C\,D^2\psi_C^+\,D^2\psi_{NC}\,D^2\psi_{NC}^+\;P[\psi_C(\mathbf{r}),\psi_C^+(\mathbf{r}),\psi_{NC}(\mathbf{r}),\psi_{NC}^+(\mathbf{r})] \\ & \times\psi_C^+(\mathbf{r}_1)\,..\psi_C^+(\mathbf{r}_p)\,\psi_C(\mathbf{s}_q)\,...\psi_C(\mathbf{s}_1)\,\psi_{NC}^+(\mathbf{u}_1)\,..\psi_{NC}^+(\mathbf{u}_r)\,\psi_{NC}(\mathbf{v}_s)...\psi_{NC}(\mathbf{v}_1). \end{aligned}$$

Symmetric ordering is defined as the average over all $N(p,q)=(p+q)!$ permutations of the factors $\widehat{\Psi}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{r}_1)..\widehat{\Psi}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{r}_p)\widehat{\Psi}(\mathbf{s}_q)..\widehat{\Psi}(\mathbf{s}_1)$. These results plus equal time commutation rules give the quantum correlation functions.

For example, the first order quantum correlation function that is used to exhibit macroscopic spatial coherence in BECs is given by

$$\begin{aligned} & G^1(\mathbf{r}_1;\mathbf{s}_1)=\left\langle\,\widehat{\Psi}(\mathbf{r}_1)^{\dagger}\,\widehat{\Psi}(\mathbf{s}_1)\right\rangle \\ = & -\frac{1}{2}\delta(\mathbf{r}_1-\mathbf{s}_1) \qquad (7)\\ & +\iiiint D^2\psi_C\,D^2\psi_C^+\,D^2\psi_{NC}\,D^2\psi_{NC}^+\;P[\psi_C(\mathbf{r}),\psi_C^+(\mathbf{r}),\psi_{NC}(\mathbf{r}),\psi_{NC}^+(\mathbf{r})] \\ & \times(\psi_C^+(\mathbf{r}_1)+\,\psi_{NC}^+(\mathbf{r}_1))(\psi_C(\mathbf{s}_1)+\,\psi_{NC}(\mathbf{s}_1)). \end{aligned}$$

The result includes pure condensate terms, pure non-condensate terms and mixed terms. The delta function term arises from the difference between normal and symmetric ordering for the condensate terms.

The Liouville-von Neumann equation for the density operator replaced by the functional Fokker-Planck equation for the distribution functional by using the correspondence rules involving functional derivatives, such as $\widehat{\Psi}_C(\mathbf{s})\widehat{\rho}\leftrightarrow\left(\psi_C(\mathbf{s})+\frac{1}{2}\delta/\delta\psi_C^+(\mathbf{s})\right)P[\psi_C,..,\psi_{NC}^+]$ and $\widehat{\Psi}_{NC}(\mathbf{s})\widehat{\rho}\leftrightarrow(\psi_{NC}(\mathbf{s}))\,P[\psi_C,..,\psi_{NC}^+]$. The density operator is multiplied by various field operators in the LVN equation and the overall effect on the distribution functional is obtained by applying such rules in succession. The general form for the FFPE after applying the truncated Wigner approximation to remove the third order functional derivatives is

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\partial}{\partial t}P[\psi_C,\psi_C^+,\psi_{NC},\psi_{NC}^+] \\ = & \int d\mathbf{r}\{\sum_{\alpha=1}^{4}-\frac{\delta}{\delta\phi_\alpha}A_\alpha(\psi_C,\psi_C^+,\psi_{NC},\psi_{NC}^+) \\ & +\frac{1}{2}\sum_{\alpha,\beta=1}^{4}\frac{\delta^2}{\delta\phi_\alpha\delta\phi_\beta}D_{\alpha\beta}(\psi_C,\psi_C^+,\psi_{NC},\psi_{NC}^+)\} \\ & \times P[\psi_C,\psi_C^+,\psi_{NC},\psi_{NC}^+], \qquad (8) \end{aligned}$$

where $\phi_1 \equiv \psi_C, \phi_2 \equiv \psi_C^+, \phi_3 \equiv \psi_{NC}, \phi_4 \equiv \psi_{NC}^+$. The drift vector A_α involves the fields $\psi_C, \psi_C^+, \psi_{NC}, \psi_{NC}^+$ and their spatial derivatives. The positive definite diffusion matrix $D_{\alpha\beta}$ also involves these fields.

The functional Fokker-Planck equation for the distribution functional is then replaced by Ito stochastic field equations for $\psi_C, \psi_C^+, \psi_{NC}, \psi_{NC}^+$, which are now regarded as time dependent stochastic fields. The general form for the stochastic field equations is

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\phi_\alpha = A_\alpha(\psi_C, \psi_C^+, \psi_{NC}, \psi_{NC}^+) + \sum_\beta d_{\alpha\beta}(\psi_C, \psi_C^+, \psi_{NC}, \psi_{NC}^+)\Gamma_\beta(\mathbf{r}, t). \qquad (9)$$

The first term is deterministic and is associated with the drift vector. The second term is a random noise term and is associated with diffusion matrix, the matrix d being related to the diffusion matrix via $D = d\,d^T$. The $\Gamma_\beta(\mathbf{r}, t)$ are Gaussian-Markov random noise terms

$$\overline{\Gamma_\alpha(\mathbf{r}_1, t_1)} = 0 \qquad \overline{\Gamma_\alpha(\mathbf{r}_1, t_1)\Gamma_\beta(\mathbf{r}_2, t_2)} = \delta_{\alpha\beta}\delta(t_1 - t_2)\delta(\mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{r}_2) \qquad (10)$$

where the bar denotes a stochastic average.

Quantum averages of the field operators are now given by stochastic averages, which are equivalent to the functional integrals in Equation (6) involving the distribution functional.

$$\begin{aligned} & Tr[\widehat{\rho}\,\{\widehat{\Psi}^\dagger(\mathbf{r}_1)..\widehat{\Psi}^\dagger(\mathbf{r}_p)\widehat{\Psi}(\mathbf{s}_q)..\widehat{\Psi}(\mathbf{s}_1)\}\,\widehat{\Psi}_{NC}^\dagger(\mathbf{u}_1)..\widehat{\Psi}_{NC}^\dagger(\mathbf{u}_r)\widehat{\Psi}_{NC}(\mathbf{v}_s)..\widehat{\Psi}_{NC}(\mathbf{v}_1)] \\ = & \overline{\psi_C^+(\mathbf{r}_1)\,..\psi_C^+(\mathbf{r}_p)\,\psi_C(\mathbf{s}_q)\,..\psi_C(\mathbf{s}_1)\,\psi_{NC}^+(\mathbf{u}_1)\,..\psi_{NC}^+(\mathbf{u}_r)\,\psi_{NC}(\mathbf{v}_s)..\psi_{NC}(\mathbf{v}_1)} \end{aligned} \qquad (11)$$

3 Conclusion

It is shown how the quantum correlation functions required for describing interferometry using BECs can obtained via stochastic averages of products of field functions, which satisfy Ito equations derived from the functional Fokker-Planck equation for the phase space distribution functional that represents the quantum density operator. The phase space distribution functional is of the Wigner type for the condensate modes and the positive P type for the non-condensate modes. Decoherence and dephasing effects in BEC interferometry with large boson numbers are fully treated, unlike previous theories in which only condensate modes are considered or the theory is restricted to small boson numbers.

4 Acknowledgements

The author is grateful for discussions with M K Olsen and P D Drummond on applying Wigner and positive P phase space distributions to BEC interferometry.

References

References

[1] Kasevich M A 2002 *Science* **298** 1363

[2] Dunningham J A, Burnett K and Barnett S M 2002 *Phys. Rev. Letts.* **89** 150401

[3] Bach R and Rzazewski K 2004 *Phys. Rev. A* **70** 063622

[4] Dalton B J 2006 Two-mode theory of BEC interferometry *Preprint* q-ph/0601012

[5] Ananakian D and Bergeman T 2006 *Phys. Rev. A* **73** 013604

[6] Gardiner C W and Zoller P 2000 *Phys. Rev. A* **61** 033601

[7] Steele M J, Olsen M K, Plimak L I, Drummond P D, Tan S M, Collett M J, Walls D F and Graham R 1998 *Phys. Rev. A* **58** 4824

[8] Gardiner C W and Zoller P 1998 *Phys. Rev. A* **58** 536